

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
8 Plaintiff, ) No. 2:10-cr-118-RLH-RJJ  
9 vs. )  
10 CLIFFORD JAMES SCHUETT, )  
11 Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_  
)

13 Before the Court is Defendant's **Motion for Dismissal or Waiver of Restitution**  
14 (#86, filed June 11, 2013). The United States filed its Response (#101) on June 28, 2013. The  
15 Motion will be denied for two reasons.

16 First, Defendant still had counsel until June 20, 2013, and the Local Rules preclude a  
17 party to file a pro se document when represented by counsel.

Moreover, the motion raises an issue that has previously been adjudicated. Schuett filed essentially the same motion on October 24, 2012 (#43), the Government filed its response on January 3, 2013, (#50) objecting with appropriate legal arguments. That motion was denied by the Court on January 17, 2013 (#59).

22 FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, the present **Motion for Dismissal or Waiver of**  
23 **Restitution (#86)** is DENIED.

24 || Dated: July 8, 2013.

  
**Roger L. Hunt**  
United States District Judge